

Atklāta konkursa "Tehniskā izpēte un skiču projekta izstrāde Rail Baltica infrastruktūras uzturēšanas objektiem", identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2018/25,

Open competition "Technical study and design proposals for Rail Baltica Infrastructure Maintenance Facilities", identification number: RBR 2018/25,

Ziņojums /Report

Rīgā
2018. gada 3. decembrī

Rīga
3 December 2018

Pasūtītājs: Akciju sabiedrība RB Rail AS, reģistrācijas numurs: 40103845025, juridiskā adrese: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvija.

Contracting authority: Joint stock company RB Rail AS, registration number 40103845025, registered address: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Riga, LV-1010, Latvia.

Iepirkuma procedūra un identifikācijas numurs: atklāts konkurss "Tehniskā izpēte un skiču projekta izstrāde Rail Baltica infrastruktūras uzturēšanas objektiem", identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2018/25 (turpmāk – Iepirkums).

Procurement procedure and identification number: open competition "Technical study and design proposals for Rail Baltica Infrastructure Maintenance Facilities", identification number: RBR 2018/25 (hereinafter – Procurement).

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī: 2018. gada 18. septembris (2018/S 179 – 405878).

Notice publication date in TED Tenders Electronic Daily: 18 September 2018 (2018/S 179 – 405878).

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Iepirkumu uzraudzības biroja tīmekļvietnē: 2018. gada 16. septembris.

Notice publication date at website of Procurement Monitoring Bureau: 16 September 2018.

Iepirkuma komisija: tās sastāvs apstiprināts ar RB Rail AS valdes 2018. gada 4. septembra rīkojumu Nr.11/2018-51 šādā sastāvā:

Procurement commission: composition of which has been approved by the Management Board of RB Rail AS with order No 11/2018-51 dated 4 September 2018, consisting of:

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja V. Kļaviņa – Iepirkumu speciāliste - juriste;
Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājas vietnieks - V. Košelev – Tehnisko projektu vadītājs;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis M. Ulāns – Vecākais telpiskās plānošanas speciālists;
Iepirkuma komisijas locekle/ sekretāre R. Grigāne – Jaunākā iepirkumu speciāliste.

Procurement commission chairperson V. Kļaviņa – Procurement Specialist - lawyer;
Procurement commission vice-chairperson V. Košelev – Technical Project Manager;
Procurement commission member M. Ulāns – Senior Spatial Planning Specialist;
Procurement commission member/ secretary R. Grigāne – Junior Procurement Specialist.

Iepirkuma procedūras dokumentācijas sagatavotāji (bez balsstiesībām):
Artūrs Caune – Projektu vadītājs;
Kaur Piho – Jaunākais dzelzceļa inženieris.

Draftspersons of procurement documentation (without voting rights):
Artūrs Caune – Project Manager;
Kaur Piho – Junior Engineer Railway Operations.

Pretendentiem noteiktās kvalifikācijas prasības: noteiktas atklāta konkursa "Tehniskā

Qualification requirements for the Tenderers: stipulated in regulations of the open competition

izpēte un skiču projekta izstrāde Rail Baltica infrastruktūras uzturēšanas objektiem” nolikumā.

“Technical study and design proposals for Rail Baltica Infrastructure Maintenance Facilities”.

Piedāvājuma izvēles kritērijs: saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

Selection criteria: the most economically advantageous proposal.

Piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš: 2018. gada 20. novembris, līdz plkst. 10:00.

Submission date of Proposals: 20 November 2018, till 10:00.

Iesniegtie piedāvājumi un piedāvātās līgumcenas:

Proposals submitted and the proposed prices:

Iesniegts viens piedāvājums ar šādu piedāvāto līgumcenu:

Submitted one proposal with the following financial proposal:

Nr.	Pretendents	Piedāvātā līgumcena (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu apvienība Ardanuy Ingeniería, S.A. un Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A.	292 211,82

No.	Tenderer	Financial proposal (EUR excl. VAT)
1.	Partnership formed by Ardanuy Ingeniería, S.A. and Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A.	292 211,82

Piedāvājumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks: 2018. gada 20. novembris, plkst. 10:00, E- iepirkumu sistēmā.

Place, date and time of the opening of Proposal: 20 November 2018, 10:00 at E-Tenders system.

Pretendents, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums, un pamatojums lēmumam piešķirt līguma slēgšanas tiesības:

The Tenderer awarded the contract rights and justification for the decision to award the contract rights:

Ņemot vērā, ka pretendenta iesniegtais piedāvājums pilnībā atbilst visām iepirkuma nolikumā noteiktajām kvalifikācijas prasībām un ir saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums, iepirkuma komisija pamatojoties uz iepirkuma nolikuma 21.2. un 23.1. punktu 2018. gada 3. decembrī nolēma līguma slēgšanas tiesības piešķirt personu apvienībai Ardanuy Ingeniería, S.A. un Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. par kopējo līguma summu EUR 292 211,82 (divi simti deviņdesmit divi tūkstoši divi simti vienpadsmit euro astoņdesmit divi centi) bez PVN.

As proposal submitted by the tenderer met all qualification criteria described in the Procurement regulations and the tenderer has submitted the economically most advantageous proposal, Procurement commission in accordance with Section 21.2 and 23.1 of the Procurement regulations on 3 December 2018 decided to award the contract rights to partnership formed by Ardanuy Ingeniería, S.A. and Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. with total contract price EUR 292 211,82 (two hundred ninety-two thousand two hundred eleven euros eighty-two cents) excluding VAT.

Pretendenta piedāvātā pakalpojuma cena ir pamatota, samērīga un Pasūtītāja rīcībā esošajiem finanšu līdzekļiem atbilstoša. Iepirkuma nolikumā iekļautās prasības ir pamatotas un samērīgas, iepirkuma priekšmetam un Publisko iepirkumu likuma regulējumam atbilstošas.

Tenderers proposed price is completely justified, reasonable and suitable for the financial resources available for the Contracting authority. The requirements of the Procurement regulations are reasonable, proportionate and relevant to the subject matter of the Procurement and regulation of the Public Procurement Law.

Pretendenta, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums, piesaistītie apakšuzņēmēji un tiem nododamo darbu apraksts:

Apakšuzņēmējs	Darbu apraksts
Infraplanas UAB reģ. Nr.: 160421745	Ietekmes uz vidi novērtējums

Subcontractors of Tenderer awarded the contract rights and description of the services assigned to them:

Subcontractor	Description of services
Infraplanas UAB reģ. Nr.: 160421745	Environmental Impact Assessment analysis

Izslēgtie pretendenti vai noraidītie piedāvājumi: nav.

Excluded tenderers or rejected proposals: none.

Pielikumā: Izraksts no izvērtējuma kopsavilkuma uz 13 (trīspadsmit) lapām.

Annex: Extract from Evaluation summary on 13 (thirteen) pages.

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja
Procurement commission chairperson



V. Kļaviņa

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
 „TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

Selection of the most economically advantageous proposal (Evaluation summary)

No		Criteria	Points assigned:			
			PARTNERSHIP ARDANUVY-INECO			
			V. Kļaviņa	V. Košelev	M. Ulāns	R. Grigāne
		Maximum possible score (points)				
21.7.2	Financial Proposal	30	30	30	30	30
	Total points for Financial Proposal:			30		
21.6.2	Quality of the Technical Proposal	70				
(a) Description of the approach of delivery of the Services						
Outstanding level of detail						
a.1	<p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with Section 13.1.1 of the Regulations and are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services, including the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has proposed additional suggestions for delivery of the Services as described in sub-sections a)-j) of Section 13.1.1 of the Regulations, - has provided detailed risk management plan considering particularities of the Study, 	20				

Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
(ID NO RBR 2018/25)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided the Study work breakdown structure, the sequence and co-relation of the tasks and deliverables as well staging of the study is presented in detail by showing sub-tasks and in a consistent way, - has provided GANTT chart which demonstrates co-relation of tasks, sub-tasks and deliverables as well as assignment of the resources. 					
a.2	<p>High level of detail</p> <p>The Tenderer has produced a partly extended description of its thoughts and opinions on the approach of delivery of the Services according to the sub-sections a)-j) of Section 13.1.1 of the Regulations, e.g. the Tenderer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided detailed risk management plan considering particularities of the Study, - has provided the Study work breakdown structure, the sequence and co-relation of the tasks and deliverables as well staging of the study is presented in detail by showing sub-tasks and in a consistent way, - has provided GANTT chart which demonstrates co-relation of tasks, sub-tasks and deliverables as well as assignment of the resources. 	15	15	15	15	15
a.3	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The Tenderer has produced a general description of its thoughts and opinions on the approach of</p>	10				

Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES“
(ID NO RBR 2018/25)

<p>delivery of the Services according to the sub-sections a)-j) of Section 13.1.1 of the Regulations, e.g. the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided risk management plan considering particularities of the Study, - has provided the Study work breakdown structure, the sequence and co-relation of the tasks and deliverables as well staging of the Study is general but presented in a consistent way, - has presented GANTT chart which demonstrates co-relation of main tasks and deliverables. 					
<p>Insufficient level of details</p> <p>The Tenderer has failed to produce additional information as to its understanding on the approach of delivery of the Services according to the sub-sections a)-j) of Section 13.1.1 of the Regulations, e.g. there are very general statements on how the Tenderer will manage provision of the Services, and assure the quality, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided generic risk management plan without considering particularities of the Study, and/or - has provided the Study work breakdown structure, but the sequence and co-relation of the tasks and deliverables as well staging of the Study is not explained or lacking consistency, and/or - has not provided GANTT chart, or has provided very general GANTT chart. 	<p>0</p>				

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
 „TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES“
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

<p>(b) Description of the understanding of the assignment - Quality of the proposed methodology for optioneering, environmental and spatial constraints analysis and MCA (WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1, WP 2.2)</p>					
<p>Outstanding level of detail</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical Specification, work packages (hereinafter- WP) 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1, WP 2.2 are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and an outstanding description of the specific tasks of WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1, W P2.2, including the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided description on how the specific functional and technical requirements will be sourced and defined by identifying and substantiating methods and approaches to be used, - has provided benchmarking methodology description targeted to the specific needs of this Study and by substantiating the best industry practice, - has provided MCA methodology description targeted to the specific needs of this Study and by substantiating the best industry practice, - has proposed substantiated and well understandable formula for scoring the location options. 	<p>20</p>				

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
 „TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES“
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

	<p>High level of detail</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical specification, WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1, WP 2.2 are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and a good description of the specific task of WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1, WP 2.2, including the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided description on how the specific functional and technical requirements will be sourced and defined by identifying and substantiating methods and approaches to be used, - has provided MCA methodology description by substantiated the best industry practice, - has proposed substantiated formula for scoring the location options. <p>The Proposal includes a detailed description of the planned cooperation and communication with the Contracting Authority, accounting for planned meetings, and potential items to be included on the agenda. Information has been provided regarding the use of interpreters.</p>	15				
b.3	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical specification, WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1, WP 2.2 are outlined in the best practice of</p>	10				

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
 „ TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES“
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

<p>industry, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and a satisfactory description of the specific task of WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1., WP 2.2, including the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided description on how the specific functional and technical requirements will be sourced and defined by identifying main methods and approaches to be used, - has provided MCA methodology description by outlining the best industry practice, - has proposed general formula for scoring the location options. 					
<p>Insufficient level of details</p> <p>The Technical proposal consists of unanswered or significantly inadequate and incomplete solutions to the required specific tasks. A failure to grasp the intended requirements of tasks outlined in the Technical specification. The Technical proposal conforms with the Technical specification and practice of the industry only partly, the description of provision of Services does not provide an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and project in general and an insufficient description of the specific tasks of WP 1.2, WP 1.3, WP 2.1., WP 2.2, including the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has failed to provide description on how the specific functional and technical requirements will be sourced and defined, 	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>

b.4

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
 „TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

<p>and/or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided generic MCA methodology description, and/or - has failed to propose a substantiated and understandable formula for scoring the location options. 					
<p>(c) Description of the understanding of the assignment - Quality of the proposed methodology of design proposals delivery (WP 3.1, WP 3.3, WP 3.5)</p>					
<p>Outstanding level of detail</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical specification, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and an outstanding description of the specific task of WP 3.1, WP 3.3, WP 3.5. In particular, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has described the provisional content of the design proposals along with the substantiation out of best industry practice and reflecting the particularities of this Study, - has provided details to cost estimates methodology along with examples from similar previous tasks, - has provided methods and processes to identify construction bases location proposal and criteria to be used substantiated by best industrial practice. 	<p style="text-align: center;">20</p>				
<p>c.1</p>					

Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES“
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)

<p>c.2</p> <p>High level of detail</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical specification, WP 3.1, WP 3.3, WP 3.5 are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and a good description of the specific task of WP3.1, WP3.3, WP3.5, in particular, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has described the provisional content of the design proposals along with showing some examples out of best industry practice, - has provided details to cost estimates methodology, - has provided methods and processes to identify construction bases location proposal and criteria to be used. 	15				
<p>c.3</p> <p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical specification, WP 3.1, WP 3.3, WP 3.5 are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and a satisfactory description of the specific task of WP 3.1, WP 3.3, WP 3.5, in particular, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has described the provisional content of the design proposals, 	10	10	10	10	10

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
 „TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided general cost estimates methodology, - has provided general approach on methods and processes to identify construction bases location proposal. 						
c:4	<p>Insufficient level of details</p> <p>The Technical proposal consists of unanswered or significantly inadequate and incomplete solutions to the required specific tasks. A failure to grasp the intended requirements of tasks outlined in the Technical specification. The Technical proposal conforms with the Technical specification and practice of the industry only partly, the description of provision of Services does not provide an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and project in general and an insufficient description of the specific tasks of WP 3.1, WP 3.3, WP 3.5.</p>	0					
(d) Description of the Tenderer's personnel and resources and work organization							
	Outstanding level of detail						
d:1	<p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Regulations, items of Section 13.1.3 of the Regulations are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of Tenderer's core team, engagement of additional experts and identification and resources used by the Tenderer provides an idea about the way and means of</p>	10	10	10	10	10	10

Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTIKA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES“
 (ID NO RBR 2018/25)

	<p>provision of these Services by delivering outstanding description of those items in the context of Tenderer's experience from similar projects. In particular, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided description of the Tenderer's core team, its work management structure and each core team member's responsibilities, - has presented additional experts (as non-key experts and other personnel) required for performance of the services and their role in the proposed management structure, - has provided resource management plan interlinking resource plan with GANTT chart. 					
d.2	<p>High level of detail</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Regulations, items of Section 13.1.3 of the Regulations are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of Tenderer's core team, engagement of additional experts and identification and resources used by the Tenderer provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services by delivering good description of those items. In particular, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided description of the Tenderer's core team, its work management structure and each core team member's responsibilities. 	7				

Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
(ID NO RBR 2018/25)

	- has presented main additional experts (as non-key experts) required for performance of the Services and their role in the proposed management structure.					
	Satisfactory level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Regulations, items of Section 13.1.3 of the Regulations are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of Tenderer's core team, engagement of additional experts and identification and resources used by the Tenderer provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services by delivering satisfactory description of those items. In particular, the Tenderer: - has provided description of the Tenderer's core team, its work management structure and each core team member's responsibilities, - has presented the procedure of engaging main additional experts (as non-key experts and other personnel) required for performance of the Services and their role in the proposed management structure.	3				
d.4	Insufficient level of details The Technical proposal consists of unanswered or significantly inadequate and incomplete description of specific items. A failure to grasp the intended requirements outlined in the Regulations.	0				

Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
(ID NO RBR 2018/25)

<p>The Technical proposal conforms with the Section 13.1.3 of the Regulations and practice of the industry only partly, the description does not provide an idea about the way and means of provision of these Services and project in general and an insufficient description of the specific items of Section 13.1.3 of the Regulations. In particular, the Tenderer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - has provided a general or inconsistent description of the Tenderer's core team, each core team member's responsibilities, and/or - has provided general description on engaging main additional experts (as non-key experts and other personnel) required for performance of the Services. 					
<p>Total points for quality of the Technical Proposal:</p>	<p>35 = (35+35+35+35)/4 (4 - the number of the procurement commission members participated in the evaluation)</p>				
<p>Total:</p>	<p>100</p>	<p>65</p>	<p>65</p>	<p>65</p>	<p>65</p>
<p>Total points for quality of the Technical Proposal and for Financial Proposal:</p>	<p>30+35 = 65</p>				

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja / Procurement commission chairperson

V. Klavīna /signature/

**Evaluation summary for the open competition
„TECHNICAL STUDY AND DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR RAIL BALTICA INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES”
(ID NO RBR 2018/25)**

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājas vietnieks/ Procurement commission vice-chairperson

V. Košelev /signature/

Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis/ Procurement commission member

M. Ulāns /signature/

Iepirkuma komisijas locekle/ sekretāre/ Procurement commission member/ secretary

R.Griģāne /signature/

Rīga, 26 November 2018